

Underwater source localization using multi-frequency machine learning



Example problem: Ship Range for Noise 09 Experiment

- Training data
 - Jan. 31, 2009 01:43-2:05
 - 2 m/s

- Test-Data-1
 - Jan. 31, 2009 01:01-01:24
 - -2 m/s

- Test-Data-2
 - Feb. 4, 2009 13:41-13:51
 - 4 m/s



Example problem: Ship Range for Noise 09 Experiment

- Training data
 - Jan. 31, 2009 01:43-2:05
 - 2 m/s
- Test-Data-1
 - Jan. 31, 2009 01:01-01:24
 - -2 m/s
- Test-Data-2
 - Feb. 4, 2009 13:41-13:51
 - 4 m/s



Example problem: Ship Range for Noise 09^{Z_s} Experiment

Comparison method: Matched-Field Processing (model-based)

- 1. Model the sound propagation. Parameters: water depth, sound speed profile of water and sea floor, density, layers...
- 2. Compare the modeled sound pressure (p_c) and observations (p_s) .

maximizing $\boldsymbol{p}_{c}(\mathbf{r})^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{p}_{c}(\mathbf{r})$ $\mathbf{D}(f) = \frac{1}{N_{s}} \sum_{s=1}^{N_{s}} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{s}(f) \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{s}^{H}(f)$ $C_0 = 1500 \text{ m/s} \qquad \Delta z = 5 \text{ m}$ 16 sensors spanning from D = 128 m - 143 m depth (15 m)



Example problem: Matched-Field Processing (MFP)

- "Ambiguity surfaces" show match at each modeled range
- MFP has challenges due to sidelobes



- Feed-forward neural network, also called Multilayer Perceptron
- One hidden layer:
 - For inputs \mathbf{x}_n , $z_{nj} = \sigma((\mathbf{w}_j^{(1)})^T \cdot \mathbf{x}_n)$
 - $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sigmoid}(\mathbf{x}) = (\exp(-\mathbf{x})+1)^{-1}$
 - Softmax output:

$$f(z_{nj}) = \frac{e^{z_{nj}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{M} e^{z_{nk}}}, j = 1, ..., M$$

• Output is a probability, where maximum bin is model prediction



• Inputs for FNN (feature engineering)

Sound pressure

$$\mathbf{p}(f) = S(f)\mathbf{g}(f, \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{n},$$

Normalize pressure to reduce the effect of |S(f)|

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(f) = \frac{\mathbf{p}(f)}{\sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \left| p_l(f) \right|^2}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}(f)}{\|\mathbf{p}(f)\|_2}$$

Sample Covariance Matrix to reduce effect of source phase

$$\mathbf{C}(f) = \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{s=1}^{N_s} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_s(f) \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_s^H(f)$$

- Vectorize re{C} and imag{C}.
 Concatenate for multiple frequencies.
- S(f): Source term
 - L : Number of sensors
 - N_s : Number of snapshots

- Classification:
 - Map range to bins
 - Use Kullbach-Liebler (KL, aka relative entropy) to compare softmax output with 'one-hot' vector

$$r_{1}: 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$$

$$r_{2}: 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$$

$$r_{3}: \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{K}: \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{t}_{n}$$

$$\mathbf{t}_{nput} \qquad \mathbf{t}_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1 \quad \text{if } ||r - r_{k}|| \leq \Delta r/2, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



Example problem: Ship Range for Noise 09 Experiment





Example problem: Ship Range for SBCEx16 Experiment

• Cargo shipping lanes, 3 passing ships

epth

 \square

300

400

500



Table 1. Ship tracks.

Track No.	Data set	Time period	Ship name	Speed (m/s)
Track1	Training-Data	13:00-13:33 (9/15)	KUMANO MARU	6.7
Track2	Test-Data-1	19:11-19:33 (9/16)	APL PHILIPPINES	10
Track3	Test-Data-2	19:29-19:54 (9/17)	NORDSPRING	8.0

Example problem: Ship Range for SBCEx16 Experiment

- Cargo ship spectral signatures
- Use 53-200 Hz, spacing of 3 Hz



Example problem: Ship Range for SBCEx16 Experiment

• (a)-(c) Test-Data-1. (a),(d) MFP; (b),(d) SVM; (c),(f) FNN.



Feed-Forward Neural Network: Regression

- FNN with regression:
 - Single output is estimate of range
 - Classification maximally separates classes while regression minimizes target error
 - Mean squared error



Noise 09 Results: FNN with Regression





- 9 training tracks, 1 test track (bold, orange)
- Test track from different region



- Ship tracks from Mar. 23 April 1, 2017.
- Tonals at ~300 Hz come from experiment ship R/V Endeavor.

Time (s)

Frequency (Hz)

Ship: MSCANIELLO



Ship: HOUSTONBRIDGE



Time (s)

Ship: HAFNIAGREEN

dB



- 50-200 Hz used for experiment.
- Viking Bravery used as test track (not south of array).

Time (s)

Time (s)

Time (s)

Frequency (Hz)



- Training MAPE = 2.5%
- Validation MAPE = 20.2%



PCA for high-dimensional input data

- Problem: not enough data to train variation in high dimensions
- M is a real matrix
- M' is a real matrix projected into a lower dimension



PCA for high-dimensional input data

- Choose the top k singular values & project into the reduced data space
- *k* is chosen based on model performance
- U is a unitary matrix of size N_{samples} x N_{samples}
- Σ is a diagonal matrix of size $N_{samples} \times N_{features}$
- V is size N_{features} x N_{features}



 $M = U\Sigma V^{T}$ M' = MV[:,1:k]

- 100 top components kept
- Explains ~20% of variance within data (sum of top k normalized singular values)



Conclusions

- Machine learning (ML) models were used to predict cargo ship ranges
 - Trained on similar previous paths
 - 3-layer FNN, SVM, or RF
- ML models achieve lower error than MFP for real data from 1. controlled ship paths, 2. cargo ships in lane
 - Typically one training track, similar test tracks
 - Tracks are close in location, range, and time
- Larger variation between ship tracks results in worse ML performance
 - PCA may help improve results when data is limited relative to variation within features

Appendix: SVM

• SVM optimally divides the feature space by class (predicted label t_{n_i} true label $y(x_n)$):

$$\begin{split} \min_{\bar{w},b} \left[C \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n + \frac{\|\bar{w}\|^2}{2} \right] \\ \xi_n &= \begin{cases} 0 & t_n y(\bar{x}_n) \ge 1, \\ |t_n - y(\bar{x}_n)| & t_n y(\bar{x}_n) < 1 \end{cases} \\ C > 0. \end{split}$$

• Multi-class problem solved by iterating 2-class problem



Appendix: RF

• Decision tree

For input $\boldsymbol{x}_n = [\mathbf{x}_{n1}, \mathbf{x}_{n2}]$: $\vec{x}_n \in \vec{x}_{left}$ $x_{ni} \leq c$ $\vec{x}_n \in \vec{x}_{right}$ $x_{ni} > c$

Minimize the number of wrongly classified points per region by changing *c*.

- Random forest:
 - Generate hundreds of random trees
 - Keep most frequently occurring regions

