Dictionary learning, with applications in geosciences

Michael Bianco 5/22/17



Dictionary learning

- Means of estimating sparse causes for given classes of signals, e.g. natural images, audio
- Originated in the neurosciences to estimate structure of V1 visual cortex cells from natural images
- Useful for regularization of general image denoising inverse problem, but only recent applications in the geosciences
 - Seismic survey image denoising
 - Dictionary learning of ocean sound speed profiles (SSPs)





Filter sampling Beckouche 2014



Dictionary learning: Olshausen and Field 1997

- Seminal paper on learning dictionaries from a given class of signals
- Possible strategy of mammalian visual system for reducing redundancy in natural images

"Natural images"







Observe random patches from corpus of natural images

Vectorize patches to obtain observations **Y**

3

"Dictionary"



Estimate "dictionary" Φ of basis functions which explain the structure observed in all the image patches

Olshausen and Field 1997: image model with sparse prior

Assume that each image patch described by linear system

$$\mathbf{y}_k = \sum_n a_{nk} \phi_n = \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{a}_k \qquad \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{a}_k + \mathbf{n}$$

Goal: estimate bases $\mathbf{\Phi}$ from observations \mathbf{y}_k Probability of image patch arising from bases phi is

$$p(\mathbf{y}_k|\mathbf{\Phi}) = \int p(\mathbf{y}_k|\mathbf{a}_k,\mathbf{\Phi})p(\mathbf{a}_k)d\mathbf{a}_k$$
 , with

Likelihood

450

Independent, sparse prior

Olshausen and Field 1997- sparse prior induces sparse coefficients



Olshausen and Field 1997 - derivation of Error function

Learn basis functions Φ by minimizing Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between true images and those reproduced by model

$$KL = \int p^*(\mathbf{y}_k) \ln \frac{p^*(\mathbf{y}_k)}{p(\mathbf{y}_k | \mathbf{\Phi})} d\mathbf{y}_k$$

Since $p^*(\mathbf{y}_k)$ is fixed, KL is minimized by maximizing log-likelihood (or minimizing negative log-likelihood) of image patches generated from model, hence

$$\{\widehat{\mathbf{\Phi}}, \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_k\} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{\Phi}} \left[\min_{\mathbf{a}_k} E(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{a}_k | \mathbf{\Phi})\right]$$
$$E(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{a}_k | \mathbf{\Phi}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{y}_k | \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{\Phi}) p(\mathbf{a}_k)$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{a}_k | \mathbf{\Phi}) = p(\mathbf{y}_k | \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{\Phi}) p(\mathbf{a}_k)$$



Given:
$$p(\mathbf{y}_k|\mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{Z_{\sigma}} e^{\frac{-\|\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{a}_k\|_2^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad p(\mathbf{a}_k) = \prod p(a_{nk}) \ p(a_{nk}) = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta}} e^{-\beta S(a_{nk})}$$

$$E(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{a}_k | \mathbf{\Phi}) = \| \mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{a}_k \|_2^2 + \lambda \sum S(a_{nk})$$

Olshausen and Field 1997 - gradients for network model

Rewriting Error function, take derivatives to find gradient

$$E(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{\Phi}) = \sum_m (y_{mk} - \sum_n \phi_{mn} a_{nk})^2 + \lambda \sum_n S(a_{nk})$$

 $\{\widehat{\mathbf{\Phi}}, \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_k\} = \underset{\mathbf{\Phi}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} [\min_{\mathbf{a}_k} E(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{\Phi})]$

Update to a_{nk} with network (inner loop)

$$\dot{a}_{nk} = -\frac{dE}{da_{nk}} = \sum_{m} \phi_{mn} r_{mn} - \lambda S'(a_{nk})$$

with
$$r_{mn} = y_{mk} - \sum_{n} \phi_{mn} a_{nk}$$

Update to ϕ_{mn} with gradient descent (outer loop)

$$\Delta \phi_{mn} = \eta < a_{nk} r_{mn} >$$



"Hebbian" update

Olshausen and Field 1997 - gradients for network model

Can be rephrased as more recent canonical models, with Laplacian prior

$$\widehat{\mathbf{\Phi}} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{\Phi}} \sum_{k} \min_{\mathbf{a}_{k}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{a}_{k} - \mathbf{y}_{k}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\mathbf{a}_{k}\|_{1} \right\}$$

Coefficients calculated using gradient descent, then dictionary updated by

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{\Phi}^{(i)} - \eta \sum_{k} \left(\mathbf{\Phi}^{(i)} \mathbf{a}_{k} - \mathbf{y}_{k} \right) \mathbf{a}_{k}^{T}$$

This idea of iterative refinement is familiar: solving for coefficients, then updating basis functions

Iterative refinement: Vector Quantization and K-means



 $y_{1,m}$

Vector quantization (VQ): means of compressing a set of data observations $\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{y}_1, ..., \mathbf{y}_M]$ using a nearest neighbor metric with codebook $\mathbf{C} = [\mathbf{c}_1, ..., \mathbf{c}_N]$

$$R_n = \{i \mid \forall_{l \neq n}, \|\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{c}_n\|_2 < \|\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{c}_l\|_2\}$$
$$S_n(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{y} \in R_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{y}}_m = \sum_{i=1}^N S_i(\mathbf{y}_m)\mathbf{c}_i \end{cases}$$

K-means: finds optimal codebook for VQ

Given: training vectors $\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{y}_1, ..., \mathbf{y}_M] \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times M}$

Initialize: index i = 0, codebook $\mathbf{C}^0 = [\mathbf{c}_1^0, ..., \mathbf{c}_N^0] \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times N}$, MSE⁰

I: Update codebook

1. Partition **Y** into N regions (R_1, \ldots, R_N) by

$$R_n = \{i | \forall_{l \neq n}, \| \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{c}_n^i \|_2 < \| \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{c}_l^i \|_2 \}$$

2. Make code vectors centroids of \mathbf{y}_j in partitions R_n

$$\mathbf{c}_n^{i+1} = \frac{1}{|R_n^i|} \sum_{j \in R_n^i} \mathbf{y}_j$$

II. Check error

1. Calculate MSE^{i+1} from updated codebook C^{i+1}

2. If
$$|MSE^{i+1} - MSE^i| < \eta$$

i=i+1, return to 1

else

end

Relationship to canonical sparse processor

Sparse $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_m = \arg\min \|\mathbf{y}_m - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}_m\|_2$ subject to $\|\mathbf{x}_m\|_0 \leq T$ processor \mathbf{x}_m VQ $R_n = \{i \mid \forall_{l \neq n}, \|\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{c}_n\|_2 < \|\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{c}_l\|_2\} \qquad \hat{\mathbf{y}}_m = \sum_{i=1}^N S_i(\mathbf{y}_m)\mathbf{c}_i \quad S_n(\mathbf{y}) = \{\begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{y} \in R_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{array}\}$ operators **Dictionary learning** $\min\{\min \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 \text{ subject to } \forall m, \|\mathbf{x}_m\|_0 \le T\}$ objective Gain-shape VQ K-means K-means G-SVQ $y_{2,m}$ $y_{2,m}$ MSE $y_{1,m}$ Iteration $y_{1,m}$ 10

MOD algorithm: Extending K-means to dictionary learning problem

Method of Optimal Directions (MOD) [Engan 2000]

 $\min_{\mathbf{Q}} \{ \min_{\mathbf{X}} \| \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{X} \|_{F}^{2} \text{ subject to } \forall m, \| \mathbf{x}_{m} \|_{0} \leq T \}$

MOD algorithm:

- COEFFICIENTS: Solve for coefficients X=[x_1...x_i] for fixed Q using orthogonal matching pursuit (OMP)
- DICTIONARY UPDATE: Solve for dictionary Q=[q_1...q_i], by inverting the coefficient matrix X, and normalizing dictionary entries to have unit norm.

$$\widehat{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}^T(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T)^{-1}$$

.... repeat until convergence

Simple and flexible but, a few drawbacks:

- computationally expensive to invert coefficient matrix ${\bf X}$
- since keeping coefficients in X fixed during dictionary update, slow convergence

K-SVD algorithm

K-SVD [Aharon 2006]: Learn optimal dictionary for sparse representation of data

 $\min_{\mathbf{Q}} \{ \min_{\mathbf{X}} \| \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{X} \|_{F}^{2} \text{ subject to } \forall m, \| \mathbf{x}_{m} \|_{0} \leq T \}$

K-SVD algorithm: 2D example 1. Solve for coefficients **X=[x_1...x_i]** for fixed **Q** using OMP 2. Solve (1) for dictionary **Q=[q_1...q_i]**, updating both **Q** and **X** from the SVD of representation error $y_{2,m}$ $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{X}\|_F = \left\| \left(\mathbf{Y} - \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{x}_T^j \right) - \mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{x}_T^k \right\|_F$ $= \|\mathbf{E}_k - \mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{x}_T^k\|$ update **q_k, x_k** by SVD $\mathbf{E}_{k}^{e} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{V}^{T}$ $\mathbf{q}_k = \mathbf{U}(:, 1), \mathbf{x}_T^k = \mathbf{V}(:, 1)\mathbf{S}(1, 1)$ $y_{1,m}$ repeat until convergence

Example: Denoising alphabet with K-SVD algorithm

True alphabet



Recovered alphabet (noise std = .01)



Recovered alphabet (no noise)



Recovered alphabet (noise std = .5)



Dictionary learning of SSPs: motivation

- Acoustic observations from ocean contain information about ocean environment
- The inversion of environment parameters is limited by physics and signal processing assumptions



Sound speed profiles



- Sound speed profiles (SSPs) in the ocean are often highly variable with fine scale fluctuations
- Acoustic inversion of SSPs is ill-posed and traditionally regularized using EOFs
- Dictionaries obtained via unsupervised learning may provide better representation of SSP dynamics

Dictionary learning of sound speed profiles

Bianco and Gerstoft JASA 2017 (published)



SSP reconstruction error using Dictionary Learning

Based on 1000 profiles from HF-97



• One entry from Learned Dictionary fits SSP data better than 6 EOFs

Learned dictionary (LD) reconstruction error less than 50% of EOF error

SSP reconstruction using Dictionary Learning HF-97: One coefficient from Learned Dictionary vs. One EOF coefficient



Learning dictionary from HF-97 SSP variation

Q random initialized, converges within 15 iterations



LD solution space much smaller than EOFs

Inversion for SSP:

Assuming a potentially non-linear mapping:

• EOF solution: *T* leading order coefficients (fixed indices)

$$S_{\text{fixed}} = H^T$$

 LD solution: *T*-non-zero coefficients (combinatorial indices)

$$S_{\text{comb}} = H^T \frac{N!}{T!(N-T)!}$$



Since 6 EOFs or 1 LD entry required, if coefficients discretized in H=100 coefficients number of possible solutions are

EOFs: $S_{fixed} = 10^{12}$ solutions LD: $S_{comb} = 10^4$ solutions

Adaptive patch based seismic tomography: motivation

- The earth contains both smooth and discontinuous variations in wave speed (e.g. Moho, faults) at multiple scales
- Most existing inversion methods regularize inversion of seismic data by assuming exclusively smooth, discontinuous, or block constant wave speeds for inversion, which may be unrealistic
- Propose adaptive approach based on image denoising algorithms
- Want to avoid Markov-chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) formulations of seismic inversion



Travel time tomography

From the basic relation, get travel time: $t = \frac{d}{c}$ c = wave speed

Travel time for ray from station i to $j(r_{ij})$

$$t_{ij} = \int \frac{dr_{ij,k}}{c_k} = \int s_k dr_{ij,k}, \ s_k = \frac{1}{c_k}$$
"slowness"

For discrete blocks

$$t_{ij} = \sum_{k \in r_{ij}} s_k \delta r_{ij,k}$$

Can write formulation in matrix notation

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_{12} \\ \vdots \\ t_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta r_{12,1} & \dots & \delta r_{12,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \delta r_{12,k} & \dots & \delta r_{ij,k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_k \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{As} \\ \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^M, \ M = n_{\text{rays}} \\ \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times K} \\ \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^K \end{bmatrix}$$



Example Inversion (unrealistic but illustrative)



Semi-gaussian distributed stations:

64 stations 2016 ray paths





Dictionary (local priors)



322 elements



Patch sparsity

Patch based image denoising

Original Image



Denoised Image Using Adaptive Dictionary (30.8295 dB)



Noisy Image (22.1307 dB, σ=20)



Learned dictionary (256 atoms, 8x8 pixels)



Elad 2006

- Patch-based image denoising works by assuming that, at the local or 'patch' level within a digital image, the causes are sparse
- Example: each 8x8 pixel patch within image is represented using few atoms from dictionary trained on noisy image patches
- Iterative 2 step process: (1) local and (2) global solution

Seismic dictionaries?

Image dictionary (256 atoms, 8x8 pixels)



Potential seismic dictionary (267 atoms, 10x10 pixels)



- Since true seismic wave speed maps have smooth and discontinuous features, good candidates for locally sparse priors, similar to natural images
- Questions: (1) can we estimate a dictionary of local seismic patch priors from seismic data, and (2) could this improve results

Some details of patch based image denoising

Noisy Image (22.1307 dB, σ=20)



Learned dictionary (256 atoms, 8x8 pixels)



Alternate between local and global solutions until convergence

- Local solution:
 - Overlapping patches are raster-scanned from image and vectorized for dictionary learning: become training set Y
 - Solved by dictionary learning (K-SVD)

$$\min_{\mathbf{Q}} \{ \min_{\mathbf{X}} \| \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{X} \|_F^2 \text{ subject to } \| \mathbf{x}_m \|_0 \le T \}$$

• <u>Global solution</u>: denoised patches are effectively averaged by solving

$$\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} = \underset{\mathbf{x}_i, \ \mathbf{Z}}{\arg\min} \ \lambda \|\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Y}\|_2^2 + \sum_{26} \|\mathbf{Q}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_i - \mathbf{R}_i\mathbf{Z}\|_2^2$$

Dictionary learning from seismic data: checkerboard (unknown dictionary, to be estimated)





Dictionary learned directly from image data (K-SVD, T=1)





Dictionary learned from simulated seismic data (K-SVD, T=2)





Iteration error

Rayleigh wave tomographic inversion: microseism observations on the Southern California Seismic Array



Conclusions: Adaptive patch-based seismic inversion

- Seismic inversion regularized with adaptive dictionaries appears to give improved results over at least classic methods
- This method avoids complications associated with MCMC based techniques
- Method currently does not give a posteriori error distributions for model estimate