## Announcements

Matlab Grader homework, 1 and 2 (of less than 9) homeworks Due 22 April tonight, Binary graded.
For HW1, please get word count <100
167, 165,164 has done the homework. (If you have not done it talk to me/TA!) Homework 3 (released ~tomorrow) due ~5 May

Jupiter "GPU" home work released Wednesday. Due 10 May

Projects: 27 Groups formed. Look at Piazza for help. Guidelines is on Piazza
May 5 proposal due. TAs and Peter can approve.

Today:

- Stanford CNN 9, Kernel methods (Bishop 6),
- Linear models for classification, Backpropagation

Monday

- Stanford CNN 10, Kernel methods (Bishop 6), SVM,
- Play with Tensorflow playground before class http://playground.tensorflow.org


## Projects

- 3-4 person groups preferred
- Deliverables: Poster \& Report \& main code (plus proposal, midterm slide)
- Topics your own or chose form suggested topics. Some physics inspired.
- April 26 groups due to TA (if you don't have a group, ask in piaza we can help). TAs will construct group after that.
- May 5 proposal due. TAs and Peter can approve.
- Proposal: One page: Title, A large paragraph, data, weblinks, references.
- Something physical


## DataSet

- 80 \% preparation, 20 \% ML
- Kaggle:
https://inclass.kaggle.com/datasets
https://www.kaggle.com
- UCI datasets: http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/index.php
- Past projects...
- Ocean acoustics data


# In 2017 Many choose the source localization <br> - two CNN projects, 

Many thanks for the fun projects! Below are the final projects from the class. Only the report is posted, the corresponding code is just as important.

1. Source localization in an ocean waveguide using supervised machine learning, Group 3 , Group $\underline{6}$, Group8, Group10, Group11, Group15
2. Indoor positioning framework for most Wi-Fi-enabled devices, Group1
3. MyShake Seismic Data Classification, Group2
4. Multi Label Image Classification, Group4
5. Face Recognition using Machine Learning, Group7
6. Deep Learning for Star-Galaxy Classification, Group9
7. Modeling Neural Dynamics using Hidden Markov Models, Group12
-8. Star Prediction Based on Yelp Business Data And Application in Physics, Group13
8. Si K edge X-ray spectrum absorption interpretation using Neural Network, Group14
9. Plankton Classification Using VGG16 Network, Group16
10. A Survey of Convolutional Neural Networks: Motivation, Modern Architectures, and Current Applications in the Earth and Ocean Sciences, Group17
11. Use satellite data to track the human footprint in the amazon rainforest, Group18
12. Automatic speaker diarization using machine learning techniques, Group19
13. Predicting Coral Colony Fate with Random Forest, Group20

## 2018: Best reports 6,10,12 15; interesting 19, 47 poor 17; alone is hard 20.

| Group | Topic | Authors | Poster | Report |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Reimplementation of source localization in an ocean waveguide using supervised learning | Jinzhao Feng, Zhuoxi Zeng, Yu Zhang | Poster | Paper |
| 2 | Machine learning methods for ship detection in satelite images | Yifan Li, Huadong Zhang, Xiaoshi Li, Quianfeng Guo | Poster | Paper |
| 3 | Transparent Conductor Prediction | Yan Sun, Yiyuan Xing, Xufan Xiong, Tianduo Hao | Poster | Paper |
| 4 | Ship identification in sateklite Images | Weilun Zhang, Zhaoliang Zheng, Mingchen Mao, | Poster | Paper |
| 5 | Fruit Recognition | Eskil Jarslkog, Richard Wang, Joel Andersson | Poster | Paper |
| 6 | RSNA Bone Age Prediction | Juan Camilo Castillo, Yitian Tong, Jiyang Zhao, Fengcan Zhu | Poster | Paper |
| 7 | Facial Expression Classification into Emotions | David Orozco, Christopher Lee, Yevgeniy Arabadzhi, Deval Gupta | Poster | Paper |
| 8 | Urban Scene Segmentation for Autonomous Vehicles | Hsiao-Chen Huang, Eddie Tseng, Ping-Chun Chiang, ChihYen Lin | Poster | Paper |
|  | Face Detection Using Deep Learning | Yu Shen, Kuan-Wei Chen, Yizhou Hao, Min Hsuan Wu | Poster | Paper |
|  | Understanding the Amazon Rainforest using Neural Networks | Naveen Dharshana Ketagoda, Christian Jonathan Koguchi, Niral Lalit Pathak, Samuel Sunarjo | Poster | Paper |
| 11 | Mercedes-Benz Bench Test Time Estimation | Lanjihong Ma, Kexiong Wu, Bo Xiao, Zihang Yu | Poster | Paper |
|  | Vegetation Classification in Hyperspectral Image | Osman Cihan Kilinc, Kazim Ergun, Yuming Qiao, Fengjunyan Li | Poster | Paper |
| 13 | Threat Detection Using AlexNet on TSA scans | Amartya Bhattacharyya, Christine H Lind, Rahul Shirpurkar | Poster | Paper |
| 14 | Flagellates Classification via Transfer Learning | Eric Ho, Brian Henriquez, Jeffrey Yeung | Poster | Paper |
| 15 | Biomedical Image Segmentation | Lucas Tindall, Amir Persekian, Max Jiao | Poster | Paper |
| 16 | "Deep Fakes" using Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) | Tianxiang Shen, Ruixian Liu, Ju Bai, Zheng Li | Poster | Paper |
| 17 | Dog Breed Classification via Convolutional Neural Network | Yizhou Chen; Xiaotong Chen; Xuanzhen Xu | Poster | Paper |
| 18 | Dog Breed Identification | Wenting Shi, Jiaquan Chen, Fangyu Liu, Muyun Liu | Poster | Paper |
| 19 | Impact of Skewed Distributions on an Automated Plankton Classifier | Will Chapman, Emal Fatima, William Jenkins, Steven Tien, Shawheen Tosifian | Poster | Paper |

## Bayes and Softmax (Bishop p. 198)

- Bayes:

$$
p(x \mid y)=\frac{p(y \mid x) p(x)}{p(y)}=\frac{p(y \mid x) p(x)}{\sum_{y \in Y} p(x, y)}
$$

- Classification of N classes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(\mathcal{C}_{n} \mid \mathbf{x}\right) & =\frac{p\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{C}_{n}\right) p\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} p\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{C}_{k}\right) p\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\exp \left(a_{n}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \exp \left(a_{k}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with
Parametric Approach: Linear Classifier


$$
a_{n}=\ln \left(\underset{\text { p }}{\left.\left(\underset{x}{\mathbf{x}} \mid \mathcal{C}_{n}\right) p\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}\right)\right)} \underset{\substack{\text { lilelz ked }}}{\text { phior }}\right.
$$

## Softmax to Logistic Regression (Bishop p. 198)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(\mathcal{C}_{1} \mid \mathbf{x}\right) & =\frac{p\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{C}_{1}\right) p\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{2} p\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{C}_{k}\right) p\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\exp \left(a_{1}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{2} \exp \left(a_{k}\right)}=\frac{1}{1+\exp (-a)} \quad \text { sismoistis hey } \\
\text { with } & =\frac{p\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{C}_{1}\right) p\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)}{=\exp \left(a_{1}\right)+\exp \left(\boldsymbol{c}_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $a_{1}=\ln \left[p\left(\boldsymbol{x} \mid C_{1}\right) p\left(C_{1}\right)\right]$

$$
\sum_{n}^{2} p\left(c_{n} \mid x\right)=1
$$

- $a=a_{1}-a_{2}$
- $p\left(C_{1} \mid x\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)}$


## The Kullback-Leibler Divergence

$P$ true distribution, $q$ is approximating distribution

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{KL}(p \| q) & =-\int p(\mathbf{x}) \ln q(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}-\left(-\int p(\mathbf{x}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}\right) \\
= & -\int p(\mathbf{x}) \ln \left\{\frac{q(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{x})}\right\} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{KL}(p \| q) \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left\{-\ln q\left(\mathbf{x}_{n} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)+\ln p\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& \mathrm{KL}(p \| q) \geqslant 0 \quad \mathrm{KL}(p \| q) \not \equiv \mathrm{KL}(q \| p)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cross entropy

- KL divergence ( p true q approximating)

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\{K L\}}(p \| q)= & \sum_{n}^{N} p_{n} \ln \left(p_{n}\right)-\sum_{n}^{N} p_{n} \ln \left(q_{n}\right) \\
& =-\frac{-H(p)}{}+\frac{H(p, q)}{\text { crossentop }}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Cross entropy

$$
H(p, q)=H(q)+D_{\{K L\}}(p \| q)=-\sum_{n}^{N} p_{n} \ln \left(q_{n}\right)
$$

- Implementations

$$
=-p_{k} \ln \left(q_{k}\right)=-\ln \left(q_{q_{k}}\right)
$$

$t f$.keras. losses. CategoricalCrossentropy () : $\leftarrow$ tf.losses.sparse_softmax_cross_entropy $\leftarrow$ torch.nn.CrossEntropyLoss()

Cross-entropy or "softmax" function for multi-class classification

The output units use a non-local non-linearity:


$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{i}=\frac{e^{z_{i}}}{\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}}} \\
\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{i}}=y_{i}\left(1-y_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

target value
The natural cost function is the negative log prob of the right answer

## Reminder: $1 \times 1$ convolutions

preserves spatial
dimensions, reduces depth!


Projects depth to lower dimension (combination of feature maps)
$\xrightarrow[\begin{array}{l}\text { (each filter has size } \\ 1 \times 1 \times 64, \text { and performs a }\end{array}]{\substack{1 \times 1 \text { CONV } \\ \text { with } 32 \\ 32 \\ \text { filters }}}$ 64-dimensional dot product)

56

## Summary: CNN Architectures

Case Studies

- AlexNet
- VGG
- GoogLeNet
- ResNet


## Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]
Very deep networks using residual connections

- 152-layer model for ImageNet
- ILSVRC'15 classification winner (3.57\% top 5 error)
- Swept all classification and detection competitions in ILSVRC'15 and COCO'15!



## Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]
What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a "plain" convolutional neural network?


Iterations


56-layer model performs worse on both training and test error -> The deeper model performs worse, but it's not caused by overfitting!

Hypothesis: the problem is an optimization problem, deeper models are harder to optimize

## Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]
Solution: Use network layers to fit a residual mapping instead of directly trying to fit a desired underlying mapping

$H(x) \approx \underline{x}+\underline{F(x)}$

## Kernels

We might want to consider something more complicated than a linear model:
Example 1: $\left[x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}\right] \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\left[x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}\right]\right)=\left[x^{(1) 2}, x^{(2) 2}, x^{(1)} x^{(2)}\right]$

Information unchanged, but now we have a linear classifier on the transformed points.

With the kernel trick, we just need kernel


Feature Space

$$
k(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{a})^{T} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{b})
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Basis expansion



$$
x_{1}=x_{2}^{2}+2
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W^{\top} \phi(x)>0 & \quad \varphi=\left[x_{1} x_{1}, x_{2}^{2}-2=0\right. \\
<0 & W=[-2,1,0,0,-1,0] \\
W^{\top} \phi(x)=0 & =x_{1},-x_{2}^{2}-2
\end{array}
$$

## Gaussian Process (Bishop 6.4, Murphy15)



$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{n}=y_{n}+\epsilon_{n} \\
f(\mathbf{x}) \sim G P\left(m(\mathbf{x}), \kappa\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

This is what a Gaussian process posterior looks like with 4 data points and a squared exponential covariance function. The bold blue line is the predictive mean, while the light blue shade is the predictive uncertainty (2 standard deviations). The model uncertainty is small near the data, and increases as we move away from the data points.


Figure 15.2 Left: some functions sampled from a GP prior with SE kernel. Right: some samples from a GP posterior, after conditioning on 5 noise-free observations. The shaded area represents $\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x})] \pm 2 \operatorname{std}(f(\mathbf{x})$. Based on Figure 2.2 of (Rasmussen and Williams 2006). Figure generated by gprDemoNoiseFree.

## Dual representation, Sec 6.2

Primal problem: $\min E(\boldsymbol{w})$
$E=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n}^{N}\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}-t_{n}\right\}^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^{2}=\|\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{t}\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^{2} \sim \sim^{M}$
Solution $\boldsymbol{W}_{\boldsymbol{V}}=\boldsymbol{X}^{+} \boldsymbol{t}=\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}+\lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{M}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{t}$
$=\boldsymbol{X}^{T}\left(\underline{\boldsymbol{X}} \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{T}}+\lambda \cdot \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { I } _ { N }}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{X}^{T}\left(\underline{\boldsymbol{K}}+\lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{N}}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{a}$

$N>M$
The kernel is $K=\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \not N}$

$$
a=\left(\kappa+\lambda I_{N}\right)_{t}^{-1}
$$

$x^{\top} x \in R^{\mu x / 4}$
$\times x^{\top} \subset R^{N+x}$
Dual representation is: $\min E(\boldsymbol{a}) ¢ \mathbb{R}^{N}$
$E=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n}^{N}\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}-t_{n}\right\}^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^{2}=\|\boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{t}\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \boldsymbol{a}^{T} \boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{a}$
a is found inverting $N \times N$ matrix
w is found inverting MxM matrix
Only kernels, no feature vectors

## Dual representation, Sec 6.2

Dual representation is: $\min E(\boldsymbol{a})$
$E=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n}^{N}\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}-t_{n}\right\}^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^{2}=\|\boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{t}\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \boldsymbol{a}^{T} \boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{a} \unlhd$ Prediction
$\underline{y=\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}}=\boldsymbol{a}^{T} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{x}=\sum_{n}^{N} a_{n} \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n}^{T} \boldsymbol{x},=\sum_{n}^{N} a_{n} k\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)$

- Often a is sparse (... Support vector machines) SVM
- We don't need to know $\mathbf{x}$ or $\varphi(x)$ Just the Kernel

$$
E(\boldsymbol{a})=\|\boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{t}\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \boldsymbol{a}^{T} \boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{a}
$$

$$
\exp \left(-8 \frac{\left\|\underline{x}_{1}-x_{2}\right\|}{2}\right)
$$

## Gaussian Kernels

- Gaussian Kernel

$$
k\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)^{T} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Diagonal $\Sigma$ : (this gives ARD)

$$
\left.k\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\left(x_{i}-x_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\underline{\sigma_{i}^{2}}}\right)^{\lfloor } \quad \ddots \sigma^{2}\right]
$$

Isotropic $\sigma_{i}^{2}$ gives an RBF

$$
k\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) \sum-\sigma^{2} I
$$

## Commonly used kernels

Polynomial: $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=(\mathbf{x . y}+1)^{p}$

Gaussian


Neural net: $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\tanh (k \mathbf{x} . \mathbf{y}-\delta)$
For the neural network kernel, there is one "hidden unit" per support vector, so the process of fitting the maximum margin hyperplane decides how many hidden units to use. Also, it may violate Mercer's condition.

## Example 4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})}{k} & \left.=\underset{\left(\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{z}+c\right.}{ }\right)^{2}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x^{(j)} z^{(j)}+c\right)\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} x^{(\ell)} z^{(\ell)}+c\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} x^{(j)} x^{(\ell)} z^{(j)} z^{(\ell)}+2 c \sum_{j=1}^{n} x^{(j)} z^{(j)}+c^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j, \ell=1}^{n}\left(x^{(j)} x^{(\ell)}\right)\left(z^{(j)} z^{(\ell)}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sqrt{2 c} x^{(j)}\right)\left(\sqrt{2 c} z^{(j)}\right)+c^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and in $n=3$ dimensions, one possible feature map is:

$$
\longmapsto \Phi(\mathbf{x})=\left[x^{(1) 2}, x^{(1)} x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(3) 2}, \sqrt{2 c} x^{(1)}, \sqrt{2 c} x^{(2)}, \sqrt{2 c} x^{(3)}, c\right]
$$

and $c$ controls the relative weight of the linear and quadratic terms in the inner product.

Even more generally, if you wanted to, you could choose the kernel to be any higher power of the regular inner product.

- FINISHED HERE 30 April 2018
- Showed also http://playground.tensorflow.org/ in the last 10 min .

