Projects

• 3-4 person groups
• Deliverables: Poster, Report & main code (plus proposal, midterm slide)
• Topics: your own or chose form suggested topics / kaggle
• Week 3 groups due to TA Nima. Rearrangement might be needed.

• May 2 proposal due. TAs and Peter can approve.
• Proposal: One page: Title, A large paragraph, data, weblinks, references.
• Something physical and data oriented.
• May ~16 Midterm slides. Likely presented in 4 subgroups (3TA+Peter).
• 5pm 6 June Jacobs Hall lobby, final poster session. Snacks

Final Report

Poster on June 6 from each group is mandatory. Upload poster as well.

For the Final project (Due Saturday 16 June). Delivery Dropbox request <2GB (details to follow).

Deliver a code:
- Assume we have reasonable compilers installed (we use Mac OsX)
- Give instructions if any additional software should be installed.
- You can ask us to download a dataset. Or include it in this submission.
- Don’t include all developed codes. Just key elements.
- We should not have to reprogram your code.

Report
- The report should include all the following sections: Summary -> Introduction->Physical and Mathematical framework->Results.
- Summary is a combination of an abstract and conclusion.
- Plagiarism is not acceptable! When citing use “ “ for quotes and citations for relevant papers.
- Don’t write anything you don’t understand.
- Everyone in the group should understand everything that is written. If we do not understand a section during grading we should be able to ask any member of the group to clarify. You can delegate the writing, but not the understanding.
- Use citations. Any concepts which are not fully explained should have a citation with an explanation.
- Please be concise. Equations are good. Figures essential. Write as though your report is to be published in a scientific journal.
- Last year’s reports are on class website. Especially good projects 2,11,12,13,
Lecture 8: Backpropagation
A difference in notation

- For networks with multiple hidden layers Bishop uses an explicit extra index to denote the layer.
- The lecture notes use a simpler notation in which the index denotes the layer implicitly.

\[ y \text{ is the output of a unit in any layer} \]
\[ x \text{ is the } \textbf{summed} \text{ input to a unit in any layer} \]

The index indicates which layer a unit is in.
Non-linear neurons with smooth derivatives

- For backpropagation, we need neurons that have well-behaved derivatives.
  - Typically they use the logistic function
  - The output is a smooth function of inputs and weights.

\[
x_j = b_j + \sum_i y_i w_{ij}
\]

\[
y_j = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x_j}}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial w_{ij}} = y_i \quad \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i} = w_{ij}
\]

\[
\frac{dy_j}{dx_j} = y_j (1 - y_j)
\]
Backpropagation

- J nodes
- Observations $t_j$
- Predictions $y_j$
- Energy function $E =$
  - $\frac{dE}{dy_j} =$
  - $\frac{dE}{dx_j} =$
  - $\frac{dE}{dw_{ij}} =$
  - $\frac{dE}{dy_i} = \sum_j$
  - $\frac{dE}{dx_i} =$

\[ y_j = y_i x_j \]
Choose a Multilayer Neural Network Training Function

It is very difficult to know which training algorithm will be the fastest for a given problem. It depends on many factors, including the complexity of the problem, the number of data points in the training set, the number of weights and biases in the network, the error goal, and whether the network is being used for pattern recognition (discriminant analysis) or function approximation (regression). This section compares the various training algorithms. Feedforward networks are trained on six different problems. Three of the problems fall in the pattern recognition category and the three others fall in the function approximation category. Two of the problems are simple “toy” problems, while the other four are “real world” problems. Networks with a variety of different architectures and complexities are used, and the networks are trained to a variety of different accuracy levels.

The following table lists the algorithms that are tested and the acronyms used to identify them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>trainlm</td>
<td>Levenberg-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFG</td>
<td>trainbfg</td>
<td>BFGS Quasi-Newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>trainrp</td>
<td>Resilient Backpropagation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG</td>
<td>trainscg</td>
<td>Scaled Conjugate Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGB</td>
<td>traincgb</td>
<td>Conjugate Gradient with Powell/Beale Restarts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGF</td>
<td>traincfgf</td>
<td>Fletcher-Powell Conjugate Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGP</td>
<td>traincgp</td>
<td>Polak-Ribiére Conjugate Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSS</td>
<td>trainoss</td>
<td>One Step Secant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDX</td>
<td>traindx</td>
<td>Variable Learning Rate Backpropagation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gradient results

Maybe from tensorflow with ocean acoustics data
Gradients

\[ \mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{w}_k - \eta \nabla E \]

- **Batch**
  - \( E(\mathbf{w}_k) = \sum_{n}^{N} E_n(\mathbf{w}_k) \)

- **Stochastic gradient descent:**
  - Pick just one data sample \( n \) (of \( N \))
  - \( \mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{w}_k - \eta \nabla E_n(\mathbf{w}_k) \)
  - Less sensitive to global minima

- **Momentum**
  - \( \mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{w}_k - \eta \nabla E + \mu (\mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{w}_{k-1}) \)

- **Avoid Overfitting** (regularization, dropout, early stopping)

- 100’s PhD thesis on how to optimize. Always backpropagation.
Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

\[ y = \sigma(x) \]

\[ x = c + v^T w \]

\[ \sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \]

\[ p(t|v) = y^t (1 - y)^{1-t} \]

\[ L = \ln p(t|v) = t \ln y + (1 - t) \ln(1 - y) \]

\[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \right) \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial w_1} \right) = (y - t)v_1 \]
N-ary Classification

\[ y_i = p(i|v) = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{x_i}} \quad \text{Softmax} \]

\[ L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i \ln y_i \]

\[ w_{nj}^{(l)} = w_{nj}^{(l-1)} + \eta v_{n}^{(i-1)} (t_j - y_j^{(i-1)}) \]
Two-layers
2 input features
3 output labels

$\nabla^2_{n}(m) = y^2_n(m) - t_n(m)$

$[w^2_{jn}]^{(t)} = [w^2_{jn}]^{(t-1)} - \eta \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} y^1_n(m) \nabla^2_n(m)$

$\nabla^1_{n}(m) = y^1_n(m)(1 - y^1_n(m)) \sum_{k=1}^{N} w^2_{nk} \nabla^1_k(m)$

$[w^1_{jn}]^{(t)} = [w^1_{jn}]^{(t-1)} - \eta \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} v_j(m) \nabla^1_n(m)$
ImageNet Large Scale
Visual Recognition Challenge, 2012

Examples of learned object parts from object categories

- Faces
- Cars
- Elephants
- Chairs
Lecture 9: Kernels

Say I want to predict whether a house on the real-estate market will sell today or not:

\[ x = 24, x(1), x(2), \ldots \]

We might want to consider something more complicated than a linear model:

Example 1:

\[
\begin{bmatrix} x(1), x(2) \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x(1)^2, x(2)^2, x(1)x(2) \end{bmatrix}
\]

The 2d space gets mapped to a 3d space. We could have the inner product in the 3d space:

\[
(x) \cdot (z) = x(1)^2z(1)^2 + x(2)^2z(2)^2 + x(1)x(2)z(1)z(2).
\]

Example 2:

\[
\begin{bmatrix} x(1), x(2), x(3) \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x(1)^2, x(1)x(2), x(1)x(3), x(2)^2, x(2)x(3), x(3)^2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

and we can take inner products in the 9d space, similarly to the last example.

Basis expansion

Kernel trick
Basis expansion
**LSQ for classification**

Each class $C_k$ is described by its own linear model so that

$$y_k(x) = w_k^T x + w_{k0} \quad (4.13)$$

where $k = 1, \ldots, K$. We can conveniently group these together using vector notation so that

$$y(x) = \tilde{W}^T \tilde{x} \quad (4.14)$$

Consider a training set $\{x_n, t_n\}, n = 1 \ldots N$

Define $X$ and $T$

**LSQ solution:**

$$\tilde{W} = (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}^T T = \tilde{X}^\dagger T \quad (4.16)$$

And prediction

$$y(x) = \tilde{W}^T \tilde{x} = T^T (\tilde{X}^\dagger)^T \tilde{x}. \quad (4.17)$$
Dual representation, Sec 6.2

Primal problem: \( \min_w E(w) \)

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \{w^T x_n - t_n\}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2 = \|Xw - t\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2
\]

Solution \( w = X^+ t = (X^T X + \lambda I_M)^{-1} X^T t \)

\[
= X^T (XX^T + \lambda I_N)^{-1} t = X^T (K + \lambda I_N)^{-1} t = X^T a
\]

The kernel is \( K = XX^T \)

Dual representation is: \( \min_a E(a) \)

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \{w^T x_n - t_n\}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2 = \|Ka - t\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} a^T K a
\]

Prediction

\[
y = w^T x = a^T X x = \sum_n a_n x_n^T x = \sum_n a_n k(x_n, x)
\]
Dual representation, Sec 6.2

Prediction

\[ y = w^T x = a^T X x = \sum_{n}^{N} a_n x_n^T x = \sum_{n}^{N} a_n k(x_n, x) \]

- Often a is sparse (… Support vector machines)
- We don’t need to know \( x \) or \( \varphi(x) \). Just the Kernel

\[ E(a) = \|Ka - t\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} a^T Ka \]
Gaussian Kernels

- Gaussian Kernel
  \[ k(x, x') = \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} (x - x')^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - x') \right) \]

  Diagonal \( \Sigma \): (this gives ARD)
  \[ k(x, x') = \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{(x_i - x'_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right) \]

  Isotropic \( \sigma_i^2 \) gives an RBF
  \[ k(x, x') = \exp\left( -\frac{\|x - x'\|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \]
Sparse Bayesian Learning (SBL)

Model: $y = Ax + n$

Prior: $x \sim \mathcal{N}(x; 0, \Gamma)$

$\Gamma = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_M)$

Likelihood: $p(y|x) = \mathcal{N}(y; Ax, \sigma^2 I_N)$

Evidence: $p(y) = \int_x p(y|x)p(x)dx = \mathcal{N}(y; 0, \Sigma_y)$

$\Sigma_y = \sigma^2 I_N + AA^H$

SBL solution: $\hat{\Gamma} = \arg \max_{\Gamma} p(y)$

$= \arg \min_{\Gamma} \{ \log |\Sigma_y| + y^H \Sigma_y^{-1} y \}$

Gaussian Kernels

- Gaussian Kernel

\[
    k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - x')^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - x')\right)
\]

Diagonal \(\Sigma\): (this gives ARD)

\[
    k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(x_i - x_i')^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)
\]

Isotropic \(\sigma_i^2\) gives an RBF

\[
    k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - x'\|_2^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)
\]
Commonly used kernels

Polynomial: \[ K(x, y) = (x \cdot y + 1)^p \]

Gaussian radial basis function: \[ K(x, y) = e^{-||x-y||^2/2\sigma^2} \]

Neural net: \[ K(x, y) = \tanh (k x \cdot y - \delta) \]

For the neural network kernel, there is one “hidden unit” per support vector, so the process of fitting the maximum margin hyperplane decides how many hidden units to use. Also, it may violate Mercer’s condition.
Kernels

We might want to consider something more complicated than a linear model:

**Example 1:** $[x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}] \rightarrow \Phi \left( [x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}] \right) = [x^{(1)2}, x^{(2)2}, x^{(1)}x^{(2)}]$ 

Information unchanged, but now we have a **linear** classifier on the transformed points.

With the kernel trick, we just need kernel:

$k(a, b) = \Phi(a)^T \Phi(b)$
Example 4:

\[ k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{z} + c)^2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} x^{(j)} z^{(j)} + c \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} x^{(\ell)} z^{(\ell)} + c \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} x^{(j)} x^{(\ell)} z^{(j)} z^{(\ell)} + 2c \sum_{j=1}^{n} x^{(j)} z^{(j)} + c^2 \]

\[ = \sum_{j,\ell=1}^{n} (x^{(j)} x^{(\ell)}) (z^{(j)} z^{(\ell)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\sqrt{2}cx^{(j)})(\sqrt{2}cz^{(j)}) + c^2, \]

and in \( n = 3 \) dimensions, one possible feature map is:

\[ \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = [x^{(1)}^2, x^{(1)}x^{(2)}, ..., x^{(3)}^2, \sqrt{2}cx^{(1)}, \sqrt{2}cx^{(2)}, \sqrt{2}cx^{(3)}, c] \]

and \( c \) controls the relative weight of the linear and quadratic terms in the inner product.

Even more generally, if you wanted to, you could choose the kernel to be any higher power of the regular inner product.
Solving a Rank-Deficient System

If $A$ is $m$-by-$n$ with $m > n$ and full rank $n$, each of the three statements

\[
x = A\backslash b \\
x = \text{pinv}(A) \ast b \\
x = \text{inv}(A' \ast A) \ast A' \ast b
\]

theoretically computes the same least-squares solution $x$, although the backslash operator does it faster.

However, if $A$ does not have full rank, the solution to the least-squares problem is not unique. There are many vectors $x$ that minimize $\text{norm}(A \ast x - b)$

The solution computed by $x = A\backslash b$ is a basic solution; it has at most $r$ nonzero components, where $r$ is the rank of $A$. The solution computed by $x = \text{pinv}(A) \ast b$ is the minimal norm solution because it minimizes $\text{norm}(x)$. An attempt to compute a solution with $x = \text{inv}(A' \ast A) \ast A' \ast b$ fails because $A' \ast A$ is singular.